



Nordin bin Mamat <nordin@fpm.upsi.edu.my>

[IJERE] Editor Decision

3 messages

Dr. Lina Handayani <ijere@iaesjournal.com>

Wed, Mar 30, 2022 at 9:33 AM

Reply-To: "Dr. Lina Handayani" <linafkm@gmail.com>

To: "Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nor Azhari Azman" <mnazhari@ftv.upsi.edu.my>

Cc: Nordin Mamat <nordin@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Abdul Rahim Razali <rahim.r@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Abdul Talib Hashim <abdul.talib@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Mohd Mahzan Awang <mahzan@ukm.edu.my>, Nur Fajrie <nur.fajrie@umk.ac.id>

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nor Azhari Azman,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission entitled "A Comparing Key Performance Indicator and Benchmarks of Quality Pre-School Among the Agency in Malaysia" to International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), a SCOPUS and ERIC indexed journal (<https://bit.ly/2EI8hDj>).

Our decision is revisions required.

Please prepare your revised paper (in MS Word or LATEX file format) adheres every detail of the guide of authors (<http://tiny.cc/iaesijere>, or <http://tiny.cc/ijerelate> for LATEX file format), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes.

The goal of your revised paper is to describe novel technical results.

A high quality paper MUST has:

- (1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- (2) the proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/
- (3) results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

Please submit your revised paper within 6 weeks.

I look forward for hearing from you

Thank you

Best Regards,
Dr. Lina Handayani

=====
IMPORTANT!!
=====

For ORIGINAL/RESEARCH PAPER: the paper should be presented with IMRaD model:

1. Introduction
2. Research Method
3. Results and Discussion
4. Conclusion.

We will usually expect a minimum of 30 references primarily to journal papers. Citations of textbooks should be used very rarely and citations to web pages should be avoided. All cited papers must be referenced within the body text of the manuscript.

For REVIEW PAPER: the paper should present a critical and constructive analysis of existing published literature in a field, through summary, classification, analysis and comparison. The function and goal of the review paper is:

- 1) to organize literature;

- 2) to evaluate literature;
- 3) to identify patterns and trends in the literature;
- 4) to synthesize literature; or
- 5) to identify research gaps and recommend new research areas.

The structure of a review paper includes:

1. Title – in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.
 2. Abstract – includes a description of subjects covered.
 3. Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1-3), motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence 1) and defines the focus (paragraph 4, sentences 2-3)
 4. Body – structured by headings and subheadings
 5. Conclusion – states the implications of the findings and identifies possible new research fields
- Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (included minimum 40 recently journal articles).

In preparing your revised paper, you should pay attention to:

1. Please ensure that: all references have been cited in your text; Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text; The citations must be presented in numbering and CITATION ORDER is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4],

Please download & study our published papers for your references:

- <http://ijere.iaescore.com>
- <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/edulearn>
- <http://ijece.iaescore.com>
- <http://ijeecs.iaescore.com>

(Please use "Search" menu under "JOURNAL CONTENT" menu in right side of the site)

2 An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:

- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.
- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are cited in this section.

3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. You should present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

Update your metadata in our online system when you submit your revised paper through our online system, included:

- Authors name are presented without salutation
- Authors Name are presented Title Case (ex: Michael Lankan, and NOT written--> michael lankan or MICHAEL LANKAN). Add all authors of your paper as per your revised paper
- Title of revised paper (ex: Application of space vector , NOT --> APPLICATION OF SPACE VECTOR)
- Content of updated abstract

Reviewer H:

The IJERE form to evaluate submitted papers

Content:
Good

Significance:
Good

Originality:
Good

Relevance:
Very good

Presentation:
Very good

Recommendation:
Good

Comments to the Author

This comment will be visible to the Author

:

Comments are in paper.
see file

International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)
<http://ijere.iaescore.com>

Mohamed Nor Azhari bin Azman <mnazhari@ftv.upsi.edu.my>

Wed, Mar 30, 2022 at 9:39 AM

To: "Dr. Lina Handayani" <linafkm@gmail.com>

Cc: Nordin Mamat <nordin@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Abdul Rahim Razali <rahim.r@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Abdul Talib Hashim <abdul.talib@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Mohd Mahzan Awang <mahzan@ukm.edu.my>, Nur Fajrie <nur.fajrie@umk.ac.id>

Dear Lina Handayani

Alhamdulillah. We will revise the paper according to the comment given.

Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]

--

Assoc. Prof. Dr Mohamed Nor Azhari Azman

Faculty of Technical and Vocational

Sultan Idris Education University

Sultan Azlan Shah Campus,

Tanjong Malim, 35900

Perak Darul Ridzuan, Malaysia.

Editor in Chief

Asian Journal of Assessment in Teaching and Learning (AJATeL)

e-ISSN 2600-870x

<http://ejournal.upsi.edu.my/index.php/AJATeL/about>

<https://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii/about/editorialTeam>

<https://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/cp/about/editorialTeam>

<https://psejournal.wordpress.com/azman/>

<http://drazhari.ajvahonline.com/>

<https://www.scopus.com/authid/detail.uri?authorId=36198028300>

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Azman_Mna

<https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=O0KVy7sAAAAJ&hl=en>

Tel: +60194418241 Email: mnazhari@ftv.upsi.edu.my

"Committed to Bring Changes in Education"

Mohamed Nor Azhari bin Azman <mnazhari@ftv.upsi.edu.my>

Sat, Apr 2, 2022 at 11:15 AM

To: "Dr. Lina Handayani" <linafkm@gmail.com>

Cc: Nordin Mamat <nordin@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Abdul Rahim Razali <rahim.r@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Abdul Talib Hashim <abdul.talib@fpm.upsi.edu.my>, Mohd Mahzan Awang <mahzan@ukm.edu.my>, Nur Fajrie <nur.fajrie@umk.ac.id>

Dear Lina Handayani

Attached the revised paper based on the reviewer report for your kind perusal.

Thanks.

On Wed, Mar 30, 2022 at 9:33 AM Dr. Lina Handayani <ijere@iaesjournal.com> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



23879-47567-1-RV 2022.docx

470K